IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 1629 of 2002 (S/B)

Charanjeet Singh Kalra,
S/o Late Sardar Gurdayal Singh,
R/o Village & P.O. Gumaniwala,
Rishikesh, District Dehradun,
Uttaranchal. ……. Petitioner

Versus

State of Uttaranchal,
Through Secretary, Power,
Dehradun & others. ……. Respondents

Mr. B.D. Upadhyaya, learned Counsel for the respondents No. 2 & 3.

Coram: Hon'ble V.S. Sirpurkar, C.J.
Hon'ble Irshad Hussain, J.

(Per Hon'ble the Chief Justice)

1. Mr. B.D. Upadhyaya, learned counsel for the respondents No. 2 & 3 makes a statement that the petitioner has already retired. He makes this statement on the basis of the contents of the writ petition, itself, in paragraph 5 whereof, the petitioner has, himself, made a statement that he is to retire in July, 2002.

2. In the view, this writ petition, challenging the transfer, obviously becomes infructuous. This is apart from the fact that the counsel for the petitioner has not chosen to remain present either on 18.09.2004 or today, when the matter is listed in the dismissal list.

3. Therefore, this writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

(Irshad Hussain, J.) **(V.S. Sirpurkar, C.J.)**
21.09.2004 **21.09.2004**

G